

University of Groningen

Constructive competition or destructive conflict in the Caspian Sea region?

Bayramov, Agha

DOI:
[10.33612/diss.118587933](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.118587933)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2020

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Bayramov, A. (2020). *Constructive competition or destructive conflict in the Caspian Sea region?* [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen.
<https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.118587933>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.



university of
groningen

Constructive Competition or Destructive Conflict in the Caspian Sea Region?

PhD thesis

to obtain the degree of PhD at the
University of Groningen
on the authority of the
Rector Magnificus Prof. C. Wijmenga
and in accordance with
the decision by the College of Deans.

This thesis will be defended in public on
Thursday 18 June 2020 at 11.00 hours

by

Agha Bayramov

born on 8 April 1991
in Baku, Azerbaijan

Supervisor

Prof. J.H. de Wilde

Co-supervisor

Dr. C. Humrich

Assessment Committee

Prof. H.W. Hoen

Prof. E. Wilson Rowe

Prof. J.A. Verbeek

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| List of Abbreviations..... | 6 |
| Tables, Figures and Maps..... | 8 |
| Acknowledgements | 9 |
| CHAPTER 1: Introduction..... | 10 |
| 1. The Caspian Sea Region, the Great Game Image and an Alternative Perspective..... | 10 |
| 2. The Theoretical Background for an Alternative Perspective | 14 |
| 2.1. Why Functionalism?..... | 16 |
| 3. Research Design and Methodology..... | 17 |
| 3.1. Case Studies | 18 |
| 3.2. Collecting Data..... | 19 |
| 4. Overview of Chapters..... | 23 |
| CHAPTER 2: The State of the Art: New Great Game in the Caspian Sea..... | 25 |
| 1. Introduction | 25 |
| 2. The Original Concept of the Great Game..... | 26 |
| 3. From Old to New Great Game | 29 |
| 3.1. Actors | 29 |
| 3.2. Objective: Survival of the Fittest..... | 33 |
| 3.2.1. Transnational Infrastructures..... | 33 |
| 3.2.2. Environmental Conflicts..... | 34 |
| 3.2.3. Naval Advancement | 36 |
| 3.2.4. What is presented as new and who is presented as the winner? | 37 |
| 4. Critiques | 39 |
| 5. Conclusion: Where does the New Great Game Literature Lead Us? | 43 |
| CHAPTER 3: Revisiting Functionalism | 48 |
| 1. Introduction | 48 |
| 2. Outlining the Theory of (Neo)Functionalism..... | 51 |
| 2.1. Underlying Assumptions..... | 51 |
| 2.2. State Fixation..... | 53 |
| 2.3. Sharing Interests through Functionalist Agencies and Elites | 54 |
| 2.4. The Ramification or Spillover Approach | 58 |
| 3. Critiques of Classical Functionalism..... | 60 |
| 4. Functionalism Revised via Social Constructivism..... | 66 |
| 4.1. Social Constructivist Insights..... | 68 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 4.2. Mediating Interfaces: Cross-Border and Transnational Infrastructure | 70 |
| 4.3. Functionalist Networks..... | 75 |
| 4.4. Economic Leverage | 78 |
| 4.5. Transnational Corporations | 80 |
| 4.6. Avoiding Utopic Thinking: the ill-fitting European Benchmark | 81 |
| 5. Conclusion..... | 84 |
| CHAPTER 4: Environmental Issues: Conflict and Cooperation Potential for the Caspian Sea | 87 |
| 1. Introduction | 87 |
| 2. The Caspian Environmental Program and the Legal Status Convention | 90 |
| 2.1. Starting Point: Environmental Cooperation | 91 |
| 2.2. The Long Journey to the Legal Status Convention | 96 |
| 3. The New Great Game Explanation for the Caspian Environmental Cooperation and the Legal Status Convention | 100 |
| 4. Discussion: A Functionalist explanation for Environmental Cooperation | 103 |
| 4.1. Economic Leverage, Networking and Technical Expertise | 104 |
| 4.1.1. Economic Leverage | 104 |
| 4.1.2. Networking and Socialization under the CEP | 107 |
| 4.1.3. Technical Expertise and Construction of Environmental Protocols | 110 |
| 4.2. Room for Ramification and Transferability: from environmental cooperation to the Legal Status | 114 |
| 5. Conclusion..... | 117 |
| CHAPTER 5: Cooperation around post-Soviet Transnational Infrastructure projects in the Caspian Sea | 120 |
| 1. Introduction | 120 |
| 2. Background of the BTC pipeline..... | 122 |
| 3. The New Great Game Views on the BTC Pipeline | 124 |
| 4. Discussion: The Planning, Construction and Post-Construction Phases in the Functionalist Framework | 126 |
| 4.1. Planning the BTC Pipeline: Geopolitical Uncertainty | 126 |
| 4.2. Construction the BTC Pipeline: Challenges besides Geopolitics..... | 128 |
| 4.2.1. Technical Challenges | 128 |
| 4.2.2. Economic Challenges | 130 |
| 4.2.3. Social and Environmental Challenges | 131 |
| 4.3. Network of Actors | 132 |
| 4.3.1. Energy Companies | 133 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 4.3.2. Private and Public Lenders | 136 |
| 4.4. Operating the BTC Pipeline | 138 |
| 4.4.1. Pragmatic Cooperation | 139 |
| 4.4.2. Regional Conflicts | 144 |
| 5. Conclusion..... | 146 |
| CHAPTER 6: A New Round in the Caspian Pipeline Game: the Southern Gas Corridor | 149 |
| 1. Introduction | 149 |
| 2. Background of the SCG Project | 150 |
| 3. The New Great Game: The Second Round | 153 |
| 4. Discussion: The Planning, Construction and Post-Construction Phases in the Functionalist Framework | 157 |
| 4.1. Planning the SGC Project..... | 157 |
| 4.1.1 Decline of US interests in the Caspian Sea | 158 |
| 4.1.2. Turkish–Azerbaijani Energy Ties..... | 159 |
| 4.1.3. Internal Competition: Nabucco/Nabucco West versus TAP | 161 |
| 4.2. Constructing the SGC Project | 164 |
| 4.2.1 Technical Challenges | 165 |
| 4.2.2. Social and Environmental Challenges | 166 |
| 4.2.3. Economic Challenges | 169 |
| 4.3. Network of Actors | 171 |
| 4.3.1. Energy Companies | 172 |
| 4.3.2. Private and Public Lenders..... | 175 |
| 4.4. Operating the SGC: Expectations versus Reality | 177 |
| 4.4.1. Pragmatic Cooperation: the TCP..... | 178 |
| 4.4.2. Regional Conflicts | 182 |
| 5. Conclusion..... | 184 |
| CHAPTER 7: Conclusion | 187 |
| An Alternative Image of the Caspian Sea Region..... | 188 |
| Bibliography | 198 |
| English Summary | 244 |
| Nederlandse Samenvatting | 247 |

List of Abbreviations

| | |
|-------|---|
| AIIB | Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank |
| ANT | Actor Network Theory |
| BCM | Billion cubic meters |
| BP | British Petroleum |
| BSTDB | Black Sea Trade and Development Bank |
| BTC | Baku-Tbilisi-Ceyhan-Pipeline |
| BTE | Baku-Tbilisi-Erzurum Pipeline |
| CEIC | Caspian Environmental Information Centre |
| CEP | Caspian Environment Program |
| EBRD | European Bank for Reconstruction and Development |
| EC | European Commission |
| ECGD | Export Credit Guarantee Department |
| ECSC | European Coal and Steel Company |
| EP | European Parliament |
| EU | European Union |
| GEF | Global Environment Facility |
| IGO | Inter-Governmental Organization |
| IMF | International Monetary Fund |
| IR | International Relations |
| ITGI | Interconnection Turkey Greece Italy |
| ITU | International Telecommunication Union |
| LNG | Liquefied Natural Gas |
| NGO | Non-Governmental Organization |
| NICO | Naftiran Intertrade Company |
| NIOC | National Iranian Oil Company |
| SGC | Southern Gas Corridor |
| SOCAR | State Oil Company of the Azerbaijan Republic |
| TACIS | Technical Aid to the Commonwealth of Independent States |
| TANAP | Trans-Anatolian Gas Pipeline |
| TAP | Trans-Adriatic Pipeline |

| | |
|------|------------------------------------|
| TEP | Third Energy Package |
| TCP | Trans Caspian Pipeline |
| TNC | Transnational Corporation |
| TP | Turkish Petroleum |
| TPA | Third Party Access |
| TVA | Tennessee Valley Authority |
| UK | United Kingdom |
| UNDP | United Nations Development Program |
| UNEP | United Nations Environment Program |
| UPU | Universal Postal Union |
| USA | United States of America |
| WB | World Bank |

Tables, Figures and Maps

| | |
|--|-----|
| <i>Table 1:</i> Timeline of the CEP and the Tehran Convention | 93 |
| <i>Table 2:</i> List of actors involved in the CEP and the Tehran Convention | 94 |
| <i>Table 3:</i> Timeline of the Convention on the Legal Status | 98 |
| <i>Table 4:</i> Timeline of the BTC pipeline | 123 |
| <i>Table 5:</i> List of actors involved in the BTC project | 136 |
| <i>Table 6:</i> List of actors involved in the SGC project | 173 |
| <i>Table 7:</i> Amount of loans from public lenders | 176 |
| | |
| <i>Figure 1:</i> The GDP of the Caspian littoral states in the 1990s | 91 |
| <i>Figure 2:</i> Private shareholders in the BTC project | 133 |
| <i>Figure 3:</i> Three rounds of pipeline competition in the EU | 161 |
| | |
| <i>Map 1:</i> The BTC, the BTE and the Baku Novorossiysk pipelines | 122 |
| <i>Map 2:</i> Nabucco pipeline | 151 |
| <i>Map 3:</i> The SGC project | 152 |
| <i>Map 4:</i> The Caspian Sea region with and without pipelines | 183 |

Acknowledgements

This dissertation would not have been completed without Prof. Jaap de Wilde, who trusted and accompanied me throughout this journey. I am grateful for his insightful guidance, helpful comments, critiques, and patience throughout the researching and writing process of my dissertation. He was always there and always supported me, not just when I faced academic difficulties but also when I faced personal challenges. Thank you Jaap, for your kindness and support, which I will never forget.

I am very grateful to my co-supervisor Christoph Humrich for his valuable and critical “so what” questions, which encouraged me to push my academic boundaries. His constant encouragement, insightful comments and suggestions have enriched my research.

I would like to thank many colleagues at the University of Groningen, who have contributed to my research and social life. Particularly thanks to Eric Cezne, Cagri Sagiroglu, Philipp Olbrich, Yara Marusyk, Mustafa Ali Sezal, Sandra Becker, Frank Birkenholzand, Saliha Metinsoy and Erdogan Aykac for their academic advice, lunch, and coffee breaks. I also wish to thank Xavier Guillaume, Benjamin Herborth, Francesco Giumelli and Julia Costa López for their reflections on parts of my discussion about classical functionalism. I am grateful to Marijke Wubbolts and Gorus van Oordt for their technical and administrative support.

I would like to thank each one of my interview participants for making time to answer my questions, and for their willingness to share personal experiences with me. I want to thank Dermot Nolan for reading parts of my dissertation at earlier stages of the research. Some parts of chapter 4 were published in *the Caucasus Analytical Digest* and parts of chapter 5 were published in *the East European Politics Journal* in 2019. I would like to thank all anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments.

Without significant help and support from my lovely family and friends, I would not have been able to maintain my motivation and enthusiasm for this project. I am grateful to Gerda, Jan, Anna and Thomas for making me feel at home. Although I was living far away from my family in Azerbaijan, I never have felt alone in the Netherlands thanks to them. Thanks as well to Orkhan and Lionel for their friendship.

Lastly, I am grateful to my parents, Gadir and Minure, my brother Gurban and my girlfriend Marleen for joining me on this academic adventure and supporting me throughout this journey.